1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAWN M. ROSS (SBN 143028) KIMBERLY CORCORAN (SBN 148229) CARLE, MACKIE, POWER & ROSS LLP 100 B Street, Suite 400 Santa Rosa, California 95401 Telephone: (707) 526-4200 Facsimile: (707) 526-4200 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, MERAS ENGINEERING, INC. BERNIER, SUGHROUE and WALROD UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MERAS ENGINEERING, INC., a California corporation, RICH BERNIER and JAY SUGHROUE, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, V. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEADLINES TO FILE AND SERVE OPPOSITION AND		
14	CH ₂ O, Inc., a Washington Corporation,	REPLY PAPERS re: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
15	Defendant.	JUDGMENT	
16 17 18 19	MERAS ENGINEERING, INC., a California corporation, and CHAD WALROD, Plaintiffs, v. CH ₂ O, Inc., a Washington Corporation,	CASE NO. C 12-2738 EMC	
20	Defendant.		
21	T .		
22	I.		
23	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
24	This stipulation and order is with regard to the Bernier/Sughroue action, case number 11-		
25	00389. Plaintiffs in that action filed a motion for partial summary judgment on June 22, 2012.		
26	Pursuant to the Local Rules of the Northern District of California, Defendant's opposition was		
27	due 14 days later, on July 6, 2012. ND Cal. LR 7-3. Plaintiffs' reply memorandum would have		
28	been due seven days later. <i>Id</i> .		
	1	Case Nos: C11-0389 EMC & C12-2738 EMC	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: OPP. & REPLY DATES FOR PLAINTIFFS' MTN FOR PARTIAL MSJ

Case3:11-cv-00389-EMC Document73 Filed09/06/12 Page2 of 3

	III		
1	1 However, eight days before the opposition wa	s due, the court in which the matter was	
2	then-set vacated the motion hearing date. This was by	y minute order dated June 28, 2012. [ECF	
3	number 55, case number 11-00389]. As a result, no opposition or reply papers have been filed to		
4	the motion.		
5	After the transfer of the case to this court, the motion has been reset for hearing on		
6	October 26, 2012. In order to resolve the ambiguity of filing dates for the opposition and reply		
7	memoranda, the parties therefore agree on the following schedule for the filing of such papers.		
8	This schedule will allow 21 days between the filing of the reply papers and the hearing date for		
9	the motion.		
10	Opposition: Defendant will file opposition papers on or before September 21, 2012; and		
11	Reply: Plaintiffs will file reply papers on or before October 5, 2012.		
12	12		
13	13 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
14	14 Dated: September 5, 2012 CARLE,	MACKIE, POWER & ROSS LLP	
15		Vinch only Company	
16	16 K	Kimberly Corcoran IMBERLY CORCORAN	
17		ttorneys for Plaintiffs	
18	18 Dated: September 5, 2012 DAVIES	PEARSON, P.C	
19		/Dahaga M. Largan	
20	20 R	Rebecca M. Larson EBECCA M. LARSON	
21		ppearance <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ttorneys for Defendant CH ₂ O, Inc.	
22	22		
23	23 II.	II.	
24	ORDER ORDER		
25	The court has reviewed the stipulation of the p	The court has reviewed the stipulation of the parties as well as the files and records in this	
26	26 action. After such review, and good cause appearing	action. After such review, and good cause appearing therefor, the agreed-to briefing schedule is	
27	herein the order of this court. Defendant shall file its papers in opposition to plaintiffs' partial		
28	28		

motion for summary judgment no later than September 21, 2012 and plaintiffs shall file their reply papers in support of the motion no later than October 5, 2012.

It is so ordered.

